Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360) Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076) Jacque C. Fink (SBN 217913) HOWREY LLP S25 Market Street, Suite 3600 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 848-4990 Facsimile: (415) 848-4999 Facsimile: (415) 848-499 Facsim					
Jachn C. Fink (SBN 217913) HOWREY LLP S25 Market Street, Suite 3600 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 848-4909 Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC. and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC. MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH, INC. and AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, vs. Plaintiff, Defendants. Case No. C03-2289 MIJ (EMC) Case No. C03-2289 MIJ (EMC) Case No. C03-2289 MIJ (EMC) FROPOSEDJ ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS, INC.'S AND DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF UNENFORCEABILITY DUE TO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT [SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION NO. 5] Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant.	1				
S25 Market Street, Suite 3600	2	Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913)			
Telephone: (415) 848-4909 Facsimile: (415) 848-4999 Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC. and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL COLORADO SPRINGS, INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, vs. Plaintiff, Vs. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Case No. C03-04669 MIJ (EMC) Case No. C03-2289 MIJ (EMC) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS, INC.'S AND DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF UNENFORCEABILITY DUE TO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT [SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION NO. 5] SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant.	3	525 Market Street, Suite 3600			
Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC. and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INC. MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INC. AND AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC. 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 13 14 RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, vs. 17 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Case No. C03-04669 MIJ (EMC) Case No. C03-04669 MIJ (EMC) Case No. C03-04669 MIJ (EMC) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS, INC.'S AND DEFEDDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF UNENFORCEABILITY DUE TO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT [SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION NO. 5] Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: I1, 19th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins 24 25 26 27 28	4	Telephone: (415) 848-4900			
and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICODUCTOR, INC., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH, INC. and AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, vs. Plaintiff, Defendants. Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC) Case No. C03-0289 MJJ (EMC) Case No. C03-0289 MJJ (EMC) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS, INC.'S AND DEFEDDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF UNENFORCEABILITY DUE TO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT [SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION NO. 5] Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: I1, 19th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins	5				
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH, INC. and AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, vs. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Plaintiff, vs. SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendants. SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant.	6				
GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH, INC. and AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, vs. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Plaintiff, vs. SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. Defendant. Defendant. Defendant.	7				
COLORADO SPRINGS, INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, vs. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff, Plaintiff, SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. Defendant. Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC) Case No. C03-0289 MJJ (EMC) Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC) PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS, INC.'S AND DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF UNENPROREABILITY DUE TO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT [SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION NO. 5] Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant.	8	GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, vs. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Plaintiff, vs. SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendants. Defendants	9				
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, vs. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Plaintiff, vs. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Defendants. SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC) Case No. C03-2289 MJ (EMC) (PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS, INC.'S AND DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF UNENFORCEABILITY DUE TO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT [SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION NO. 5] Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19 th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant.	10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, vs. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendants. Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC) Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC) (PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS, INC.'S AND DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF UNENFORCEABILITY DUE TO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT [SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION NO. 5] Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant.	11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Plaintiff, Vs. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Plaintiff, Vs. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Defendants. SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, Vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC) IPROPOSED JORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS, INC.'S AND DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF INCOMPACT	12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
Plaintiff, vs. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Plaintiff, vs. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Plaintiff, vs. SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS, INC.'S AND DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF UNENFORCEABILITY DUE TO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT [SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION NO. 5] Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant.	13				
vs. AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF SYNOPSYS, INC.'S AND DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF UNENFORCEABILITY DUE TO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT SYNOPSYS, INC., Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins Plaintiff, Vs. Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins Plaintiff, Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins Plaintiff, Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins	14	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,	Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC	C)	
AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19 th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins Vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. Defendant.	15	Plaintiff,	Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)		
AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants. Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins Defendant. Defendants.	16	VS.			
Defendants. Defendants. UNENFORCEABILITY DUE TO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT [SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION NO. 5] SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. Defendant. Defendant.	17	AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al.,	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR		
SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. [SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION NO. 5] Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19 th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins	18	Defendants.	UNENFORCEABILITY DUE		
SYNOPSYS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Date: September 26, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19 th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins Plaintiff, Vs. Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Vs. Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Vs. Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Vs. Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Vs. Plaintiff, Plaint	19		-	OTION NO. 51	
Plaintiff, vs. RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19 th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins Time: 9:30 a.m. Courtroom: 11, 19 th Floor Judge: Martin J. Jenkins	20	SANODSAS INC	_	_	
22 vs. 23 RICOH COMPANY, LTD., Defendant. Judge: Martin J. Jenkins Judge: Martin J. Jenkins	21	, ,	Time: 9:30 a.m.	700	
23 24 25 26 27 28	22	ŕ			
24 25 26 27 28	23				
25 26 27 28	24				
27 28	25	Defendant.			
28	26				
	27				
HOWREY LLP Case Nos. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC) and C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)	28				
	HOWREY LLP	Case Nos. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC) and C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)			
S DM_US\8378982.v1		S			

		- ((G	
1	On September 26, 2006, Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc. ("Synopsys") and Defendants Aeroflex		
2	Incorporated, AMI Semiconductor, Inc., Matrox Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox Graphics, Inc.,		
3	Matrox International Corp., Matrox Tech, Inc., and Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc. (collectively the		
4	"Customer Defendants") brought before this Court a Motion for Summary Judgment of		
5	Unenforceability Due to Inequitable Conduct. The matter has been fully considered, and finding good		
6	cause therefor,		
7	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court GRANTS Synopsys' and Customer Defendants'		
8	Motion for Summary Judgment of Unenforceability Due to Inequitable Conduct. An appropriate		
9	judgment dismissing this case shall follow.		
10			
11	DATED:		
12		The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins	
13		United States District Court Judge	
14			
15	Submitted August 18, 2006 by: HOWREY LLP		
16			
17	В	y: /s/	
18		Denise M. De Mory Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC.	
19		and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR,	
20		INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX	
21		INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH,	
22		INC. and AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20			
HOWREY LLP	Case Nos. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC) and C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)	1	